Corporations should support the rule of law

It's time to align self-interest and public interest in support of the rule of law and constitutional values
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I have often talked about the continuum of sarkaar (government), samaj (society), and bazaar (markets), and why, for a good and healthy society, these three must work together. Ideally, sarkaar should not grab too much power; bazaar, should not flout the rule of law or appropriate public resources; and vigilantes from the samaj should not take the law into their own hands. This requires awareness and active participation from citizens. After all, we are citizens first; our primary identity is not as a subject of the State or as a consumer for the market. As citizens, how do we then help make the society? There are many interests between samaj and sarkaar; bazaar and sarkaar; as well as between samaj and bazaar. For the purpose of this article, we will examine the congruence of interest between samaj and bazaar.

We all want and need the rule of law to be upheld. In fact the bazaar—or at least the modern corporation as we know it—would not exist if the rule of law had not created the limited liability company 300 years ago. It allowed innovation in commerce, and also provided for the absorption of failure, because wherever there is innovation, there is failure. It is because of the rule of law that companies can fail without going under, and for their own sake, and therefore, corporations have a great stake in upholding it. They need the enforceability of contracts, protection of property, availability of fair competition and so on; otherwise they simply cannot do business. But even beyond this, they need the law to be upheld by society, because no business can thrive without social stability outside its gates.

Civil society and business, therefore, have more in common than we believe. Sure, in some ways, civil society has to position itself against business interests, when those interests are being deployed unfairly on the ground. For instance, in the case of public goods like water and land commons, or with environmental issues like pollution and contamination, civil society and business knock up against each other. But they also have a common concern—to keep the sarkaar in check.

State power worldwide tends to accumulate, and this is to the advantage of both business and civil society, to make sure that the State does not abuse its own power. Many corporations are also subject to the vagaries of State power, while running their businesses. Excessive discretionary power also adversely affects the climate in which businesses operate. If the alignment of samaj and bazaar is understood and worked on, and bazaar is understood and worked on, and bazaar is understood and worked on, it helps restrain the State. For example, civil society institutions and business corporations might together or separately appeal to the courts to hold the State on its knees. In the recent proposal to criminalise non-compliance of Corporate Social Responsibility, both samaj and bazaar would have been adversely affected. Both successfully voiced strong reservations against it and it was rolled back.

We all need good laws, and an independent, impartial, and efficient judiciary to verify them. The rule of law function needs to be reformed to ensure equal access to the justice system. We also need effective public institutions that help uphold the rule of law. It is the only way to both empower the bazaar, and uphold the rights of the people. The samaj has an interest in the rule of law as well, as it is critical for addressing access issues, especially for the poor. Civil society organisations (CSOs) representing samaj are often driven by passion and a commitment tonight and freedoms. Sometimes, at great personal risk, they go up against the power of the State and corporations, to create campaigns, build institutions, and push for more agency for people who are left out. Civil society must, however, learn to communicate better the long-term benefits of such work to business.

The bazaar itself cannot do this work. Though they benefit indirectly, corporations cannot support or implement socially sensitive programs, and risk the fallout of such action. It would make them vulnerable to all sorts of state action. But they can certainly do more than what they're doing at the moment. With their large social institutions they themselves, and already have a relationship with, they can, and should, give core institutional support to continue work beyond project-based funding. Even if they do just this, it strengthens civil society capacity to take on issues of rights and exclusions that are adjacent to their work on service delivery.

Indian philanthropy doesn't take enough risk. It's good to keep honouring service with money. But money is not the equivalent of delivering. It takes time to build our institutions, and for the philanthropic sector to step up and get into more important areas such as access to justice. And the conglomere interest of samaj and bazaar is exactly why. Can we—as corporations and philanthropists—pledge that we will no longer do only incremental work, but will try something transformational? The time has come to align self-interest and the public interest in support of the rule of law and constitutional values. It doesn't have to be the State versus civil society, or business versus civil society, or the State versus business. They are not necessarily antithetical to each other. Society is successful when it reduces the risk on the horizon and creates solutions. And it's important for all the three sectors to recognise that—to discover the common within the uncommon ground.

But this is also an opportune time for business and civil society to focus on those things that are distinctively from their own, unrecognised common ground. Paised at a new decade, we can ensure that this country's solemn promise to itself—to secure justice and liberty—socio-economic and political freedom for all its citizens, will be met, and met in abundance.
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